

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,437	10/23/2003	Edward H. Tobergte	3313	8421
7590 . 06/30/2005			EXAMINER	
Ginnie C. Derusseau			PATEL, TAJASH D	
Chase Law Firm, L.C. Suite 130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4400 College Boulevard			3765	
Overland Park, KS 66211			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/692,437	TOBERGTE, EDWARD H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tejash D. Patel	3765				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2003.						
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct [11] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/23/03.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/692,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bassett et al. (US 5,781,935). Bassett et al. (hereinafter Bassett) discloses a protective shoulder pad (20) that includes a foam body having a hard rigid inner layer (36)being positioned between first and second breathable layers of foam material (38,42) in a sandwich configuration and has a breathable fabric layer (34,35) that is secured about the periphery of the pad, col. 3, lines 1- col. 4, line 15 and as shown in figure 4. Further, the hard rigid inner layer includes spaced openings/perforation therethrough as shown in figure 5 which can inherently be made of plastic. Furthermore, the first and second foam layers are breathable with openings that align with the perforation of the inner layer, col. 4, lines 11-15.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that

Art Unit: 3765

the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bassett in view of Bainbridge et al. (US 6,032,300). Bassett discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the first and second breathable layers being formed of closed cell foam beads that are fused together.

Bainbridge discloses a protective pad that is made of closed cell foam beads that are fused together, col. 13, lines 61-67 and as shown in figures 30-32.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the first and second breathable layers of Bassett being made of closed cell foam beads that are fused together as taught by Bainbridge as an alternative but equivalent means of absorbing force of impact as known in the art.

4. Claims 5-11 and 13-21, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beland (US 6,845,522) in view of Bassett. Beland discloses left and right torso pads with left and right cap pad extending from the shoulder portion by means of a strap/loop (118) as shown in figure 2. Further, each of the cap pad provides protection to the arm while allowing movement in any direction as shown in figures 2 and 7. Furthermore, the shoulder portion includes removable left and right deltoid pads as shown in figures 14 and 15. Also, the

Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

protective device of Beland is defined by outer arches with means for accessorizing the shoulder pad as shown in figure 7. However, Beland does not show the pads being made of first and second foam breathable layer with openings that align with the perforation of the inner hard layer therebetween.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to form the pad of Beland from first and second foam breathable layer with openings that align with the perforation of the inner hard layer therebetween as taught by Bassett so that the device can absorb force of impact while being comfortable when worn about the body or as required for a particular application thereof.

5. Claims 12 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beland in view of Bassett as applied to claims 6 and 16 above, and further in view of Bainbridge. Beland when viewed with Bassett discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the first and second breathable layers being formed of closed cell foam beads that are fused together.

Bainbridge discloses a protective pad that is made of closed cell foam beads that are fused together, col. 13, lines 61-67 and as shown in figures 30-32.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the first and second breathable layers of Beland when viewed with Bassett being made

Art Unit: 3765

of closed cell foam beads that are fused together as taught by Bainbridge as an alternative but equivalent means of absorbing force of impact as known in the art.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

June 22, 2005

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER